

Case Study 4

Slow Response Times

Case Study Summary

Velocity Software solves performance problems.

- **As a valued customer, we want to pass this knowledge on to you.**
- **The following is a case study of a solved real-life performance issue.**
- **This case study will show:**
 - **The problem as reported by users**
 - **The problem observations**
 - **What was found in the Velocity Software data**
 - **What was suggested to the customer**
 - **If provided, follow up from the customer**

The Problem:

An LPAR running very large Linux guests using MongoDB were experiencing performance issues/slow response times

Problem Observations:

- Customers were reporting slow response times for their MongoDB applications

What the Data Showed (Configuration data)

ESAHDR – System Configuration showed:

- Up to date on z/VM release
- SMT is enabled
- Which is the master processor
- Running on IFLs

```
Report: ESAHDR          z/VM Monitor Analysis
Monitor initialized: 04/25/22 at 00:00:00 on 8561 serial 087A08
Monitor period:       7200 seconds ( 2:00:00)
-----
ZMAP Release                    5.1.3.1
Monitor file created:          04/25/22 00:00:00

z/VM Version: 7                Release 2.0 SLU 2102
TOD clock at termination       20:00:00
.
System Identifier                Vxxxxxxx8
Machine Model/Type              Z15:8561/401
Multithreading Status(SMT): Enabled
Core Thread count:              2
Enabled Count:                  2

System Sequence Code            0000000000047A08
Processor 0 model/serial        8561-401 /087A08 Master
Processor 1 model/serial        8561-401 /087A08

Processor 39 model/serial       8561-401 /087A08

CPU(GP) Capability Factor:      3085
CPU(IFL) Capability Factor:     416
CPU Cycles/ns:                  5200
CPU Cycles/ns (GP):             781
Operating on IFL Processor(s)
Channel Path Measurement Facility(CPMF) Extended is installed
```

What the Data Showed (Configuration data)

ESAHDR – System Configuration (cont.) showed:

- Of the 108 IFL processors, only 15 were 'in use' – SMT may not be needed
- 616.6% out of 4000% 'in use' (only 6 threads out of 40)
- Lxxxx3F8 was the top CPU user at 70% (or 4.4 IFLs)

```
Totals by Processor type:
<-----CPU-----> <-Shared Processor busy>
Type Count Ded shared total assigned Ovhd Mgmt
-----
CP      1   0   1  0.4   0.3  0.0  0.1
IFL    108  0  108 1501 1478.6 21.1 22.2

Number of logical partitions defined:          21

Main Storage installed (MB):                  2867199
Main Storage Generated (MB):                  2867199

Horizontal/Vertical Scheduling Configuration IFL CPUs
UNPARKING set to Medium
EXCESSuse moderate

CPU utilization:          616.6 of 4000%
CPU charged to users: 598.3%
System overhead:         18.4%
Capture ratio:           100.00%
.

Top users and user classes by CPU consumption:
      UserID      <-Relative->  <---Absolute Percent CPU--->
      /Class      <-Pct CPU-->  <Out of 100%>  <Out of 4000%>
      -----      -----      -----
1.  Lxxxx3F8      71.6   72      11.0   11      441.4   441
2.  Lxxxx3FD      13.3   85      2.1   13      82.2   524
3.  System        3.0   88      0.5   14      18.4   542
4.  Lxxxx3FA      2.2   90      0.3   14      13.7   556
5.  Lxxxx411      1.7   92      0.3   14      10.2   566
```

What the Data Showed (Configuration data)

ESAUSSRC – User Configuration showed:

- There are multiple servers with 32 vCPUs with REL 3200
- The storage for each server adds up to more than real storage – which is more than necessary for each server

```
Report: ESAUSSRC      User Configuration      Velocity Software Corporate  ZMAP 5.1.3
Monitor initialized: 04/25/22 at 00:00:00 on 8561 serial 087A08  First record analyzed: 04/25/22 15:00:00
Monitor period:      7200 seconds ( 2:00:00)  Last record:      04/25/22 17:00:00
```

UserID	ClassID	Account Code	ACI Grp Name	<CP POOL> PoolName	CPU Type	<-----SHARE----->		<---CPU---><Status>		<-MDC>			<Storage>		
						<Normal> Rel	<---MAX---> Abs	Lim	<Count>	Qck	NO	NO	<VM Size>	Dflt	Max
Lxxxx3B2	TheUsrs	Lxxxx3B2	.	.	IFL 1600	.	.	16	16	ESA	N	N	N	244G	244G
Lxxxx3FA	TheUsrs	Lxxxx3FA	.	.	IFL 3200	.	.	32	32	ESA	N	N	N	488G	488G
Lxxxx3FD	TheUsrs	Lxxxx3FD	.	.	IFL 3200	.	.	32	32	ESA	N	N	N	488G	488G
Lxxxx3F5	TheUsrs	Lxxxx3F5	.	.	IFL 3200	.	.	32	32	ESA	N	N	N	488G	488G
Lxxxx3F8	TheUsrs	Lxxxx3F8	.	.	IFL 3200	.	.	32	32	ESA	N	N	N	488G	488G
Lxxxx40A	TheUsrs	Lxxxx40A	.	.	IFL 400	.	.	4	4	ESA	N	N	N	61G	61G
Lxxxx40D	TheUsrs	Lxxxx40D	.	.	IFL 400	.	.	4	1	ESA	N	N	N	61G	61G
Lxxxx400	TheUsrs	Lxxxx400	.	.	IFL 400	.	.	4	4	ESA	N	N	N	61G	61G
Lxxxx404	TheUsrs	Lxxxx404	.	.	IFL 200	.	.	2	2	ESA	N	N	N	31G	31G
Lxxxx408	TheUsrs	Lxxxx408	.	.	IFL 400	.	.	4	4	ESA	N	N	N	61G	61G
Lxxxx41B	TheUsrs	Lxxxx41B	.	.	IFL 800	.	.	8	8	ESA	N	N	N	122G	122G
Lxxxx411	TheUsrs	Lxxxx411	.	.	IFL 400	.	.	4	4	ESA	N	N	N	61G	61G
Lxxxx51E	TheUsrs	Lxxxx51E	.	.	IFL 3200	.	.	32	32	ESA	N	N	N	488G	488G
Lxxxx529	TheUsrs	Lxxxx529	.	.	IFL 3200	.	.	32	32	ESA	N	N	N	488G	488G
Lxxxx538	TheUsrs	Lxxxx538	.	.	IFL 3200	.	.	32	32	ESA	N	N	N	488G	488G

A REL 3200 setting gives each of the 32 vCPUs only REL 100 (the z/VM default)

What the Data Showed (Utilization data)

ESASSUM – showed:

- Spikes in Processor Utilization
- A sudden change in the I/O subsystem
- Looking for spikes and sudden changes can show where and when problems start – and where to start investigations

```
Report: ESASSUM Subsystem Activity
Monitor initialized: 04/25/22 at 00:00:00 on 8561 serial 087A08 First record anal
```

Time	<---Users--->			Transactions		<Processor>		Storage (MB)		<-Paging-->		<-----I/O-	
	<-avg number->	Per	Avg.	Per	Avg.	Utilization	Fixed	Active	<pages/sec>	<-DASD-->	Rate	Resp	
	On	Actv	In Q	Minute	Resp	Total	Virt.	User	Resid.	XStore	DASD	Rate	Resp
04/25/22													
13:15:00	78	45	191	139.3	0.019	662	613	74.9	2765K	0	1	165	0.2
13:30:00	78	45	190	142.1	0.019	625	580	74.9	2774K	0	1	168	0.2
13:45:00	78	45	196	138.9	0.020	1303	1248	74.9	2778K	0	0	163	0.2
14:00:00	78	46	178	140.6	0.020	848	811	74.9	2783K	0	0	168	0.2
14:15:00	78	45	190	140.3	0.016	312	287	74.9	2791K	0	0	164	0.2
14:30:00	78	45	195	139.8	0.020	337	308	74.9	2805K	0	0	165	0.2
14:45:00	78	45	188	140.8	0.020	943	891	74.9	2811K	0	2269	187	1.2
15:00:00	78	45	189	140.0	0.023	596	556	74.9	2812K	0	17K	470	2.9
15:15:00	78	46	179	141.7	0.023	374	341	74.9	2812K	0	20K	661	2.4
15:30:00	78	45	194	139.7	0.020	413	381	74.9	2813K	0	8889	489	1.3
15:45:00	78	47	193	141.8	0.023	417	386	74.9	2813K	0	16K	664	2.2
16:00:00	78	48	191	134.6	0.032	247	232	74.9	2813K	0	5049	379	1.0
16:15:00	78	47	169	122.3	0.025	216	202	74.9	2813K	0	5164	359	1.1
16:30:00	78	47	180	121.5	0.018	210	196	74.9	2813K	0	4470	331	1.0

What the Data Showed (Utilization data)

ESAXACT – Transaction Delay Analysis showed:

- Lxxxx3F8 has a large number of Running samples
- Only 24% of 32 vCPUs are running – don't need that many

```
Report: ESAXACT Transaction Delay Analysis
Monitor initialized: 04/25/22 at 00:00:00 on 8561 serial 087A08 First record analyz
-----
<-----Percent non-dormant (Wait states)----->
UserID <-Samples->
/Class Total In Q Run Sim CPU SIO Pag E- D- T- Tst <Asynch> Lim Pct
-----
04/25/22
14:45:00 1170 2815 7.1 0.1 2.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 90 0 . . 1 0 0
Hi-Freq: 302K 174K 4.6 0.1 1.2 0.0 0.0 0 1.0 0.0 0 94 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0
***User Class Analysis***
Servers 10800 459 0 0.2 0.4 0 0 0 10 8.9 0 90 0 0 0 0 0 0
Velocity 9000 115 1.7 0.9 0 0 0 0 0.2 8.7 0 89 0 0 0 0 0 0
CATech 4500 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
TheUsrs 278K 174K 4.6 0.1 1.2 0.0 0.0 0 0.7 0.0 0 94 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0
***Accounting Code Analysis**
Lxxxx3B2 14400 12319 0.3 0 0.4 0 0 0 0 0 0 99 0 0 0.1 0 0 0
Lxxxx3FA 28800 15945 1.1 0.1 0.4 0 0 0 0 0 0 98 0 0 0 0 0 0
Lxxxx3FD 28800 27916 4.3 0.2 2.5 0 0.0 0 0 0 0 93 0 0 0 0.0 0 0
Lxxxx3F5 28800 16568 0.2 0.0 0.4 0 0 0 0 0 0 99 0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0
Lxxxx3F8 28800 25036 24 0.4 3.2 0 0 0 0 0 0 73 0 0 0 0.0 0 0
Lxxxx411 3600 3600 2.9 0.1 3.2 0 0 0 0 0 0 94 0 0.0 0.1 0 0 0
Lxxxx51E 28800 15990 0.2 0 0.3 0 0 0 0 0 0 99 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Lxxxx529 28800 15122 0.2 0.0 0.2 0 0 0 0 0 0 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Lxxxx538 28800 15500 1.9 0.0 0.3 0 0 0 0 0 0 98 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
```


What the Data Showed (Utilization data)

ESALPAR – Logical Partition Analysis showed:

- Shows there are too many vCPUs assigned
 - There are 20 vCPUs on the LPAR – mostly Vertical High and Vertical Medium in use but still with idle threads

```

Report: ESALPAR Logical Partition Analysis tware Corporate ZMAP 5.1.3 05/01/22 Pg 6452
Monitor initialized: 04/25/22 at 00:00:00 on 8561 serial 087A08 analyzed: 04/25/22 15:00:00
-----
CEC <-Logical Partition-> <----- Logical Processor -----> <----- CPU (percentages) -----> <Multi-thread>
Phys Pool VCPU <Assigned> VCPU Weight/ Total Emul User Sys Idle Stl Idle cpl/cp2
Time CPUs Name No Name Addr Total Ovhd TYPE Polar util time ovrhd ovrhd time Pct Time
-----
04/25/22
14:45:00 109 Vxxxxxxx8 08 . 0 62.5 0.7 IFL 60 VHi 86.7 81.3 2.3 3.0 110.6 2.64 35.32 0 / 1
1 63.7 0.7 IFL 60 VHi 89.2 84.3 2.2 2.6 108.4 2.48 35.46 2 / 3
2 63.1 0.7 IFL 60 VHi 87.7 82.6 2.4 2.7 109.8 2.57 35.58 4 / 5
3 65.4 0.7 IFL 60 VHi 91.0 86.2 2.2 2.6 106.6 2.40 37.09 6 / 7
4 65.5 0.7 IFL 60 VHi 91.0 86.2 2.2 2.6 106.5 2.48 37.07 8 / 9
5 65.8 0.7 IFL 60 VHi 91.5 86.6 2.3 2.7 105.9 2.57 37.24 10 /11
6 65.0 0.7 IFL 60 VHi 90.2 85.2 2.3 2.7 107.1 2.65 36.76 12 /13
7 64.9 0.7 IFL 60 VMe 90.1 85.2 2.3 2.7 107.2 2.66 36.70 14 /15
8 64.0 0.7 IFL 60 VMe 89.5 84.5 2.4 2.7 107.8 2.65 35.55 16 /17
9 36.7 0.4 IFL 60 VLo 52.4 49.9 1.1 1.4 47.7 100 19.46 18 /19
10 20.7 0.3 IFL 60 VLo 29.6 27.5 1.1 1.0 44.6 126 10.75 20 /21
11 13.9 0.1 IFL 60 VLo 20.6 19.5 0.6 0.6 25.2 154 6.70 22 /23
12 9.6 0.1 IFL 60 VLo 14.6 14.0 0.3 0.3 14.5 171 4.38 24 /25
13 5.5 0.0 IFL 60 VLo 8.4 8.1 0.1 0.2 10.1 181 2.47 26 /27
14 3.4 0.0 IFL 60 VLo 5.2 5.1 0.1 0.1 5.4 189 1.41 28 /29
15 3.0 0.0 IFL 60 VLo 4.9 4.7 0.1 0.1 4.9 190 1.17 30 /31
16 0.3 0.0 IFL 60 VLo 0.4 0.4 0.0 0.0 2.2 197 0.19 32 /33
17 0.0 0.0 IFL 60 VLo 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.4 200 0.00 34 /35
18 0.0 0.0 IFL 60 VLo 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 200 0.00 36 /37
19 0.0 0.0 IFL 60 VLo 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 200 0.00 38 /39
-----
LPAR 673.2 7.3 943.1 891.3 23.9 27.9 1125 1932 373.3 0 / 0
    
```

What the Data Showed (Utilization data)

ESALPARS – Logical Partition Analysis Summary showed:

- There are too many vCPUs causing overhead
 - Thread Idle percentage is high and
 - Shared Processor busy Ovhd/Mgmt are also high – usually indicative of a thrashing situation

```

Report: ESALPARS Logical Partition Summary Velocity Software Corporate ZMA
Monitor initialized: 04/25/22 at 00:00:00 on 8561 serial 087A08 First record analyzed: 04/25/22 1
-----
<--Complex--> <-----Logical Partition-----> <-Assigned Shares-->
Phys Dispatch Virt CPU <-$Assigned> <---LPAR--> <VCPU Pct> Wait <-Thread->
Time CPUs Slice Name Nbr CPUs Type Total Ovhd Weight Pct /SYS /CPU Comp Idle cnt
-----
04/25/22
15:30:00 Vxxxxxxx8 08 20 IFL 347.0 6.2 60 7.5 0.37 40.2 No 243.3 2
15:31:00 Vxxxxxxx8 08 20 IFL 341.6 6.5 60 7.5 0.37 40.2 No 233.0 2
15:32:00 Vxxxxxxx8 08 20 IFL 397.9 6.4 60 7.5 0.37 40.2 No 235.4 2
15:33:00 Vxxxxxxx8 08 20 IFL 351.7 6.2 60 7.5 0.37 40.2 No 216.5 2
15:34:00 Vxxxxxxx8 08 20 IFL 340.3 6.4 60 7.5 0.37 40.2 No 241.6 2
15:35:00 Vxxxxxxx8 08 20 IFL 372.3 6.3 60 7.5 0.37 40.2 No 263.3 2
15:36:00 Vxxxxxxx8 08 20 IFL 334.9 6.3 60 7.5 0.37 40.2 No 238.8 2
15:37:00 Vxxxxxxx8 08 20 IFL 356.3 6.3 60 7.5 0.37 40.2 No 243.6 2
15:38:00 Vxxxxxxx8 08 20 IFL 362.2 6.1 60 7.5 0.37 40.2 No 248.1 2
15:40:00 Vxxxxxxx8 08 20 IFL 374.3 5.9 60 7.5 0.37 40.2 No 241.1 2

Totals by Processor type:
<-----CPU-----> <-Shared Processor busy->
Type Count Ded shared Total Logical Ovhd Mgmt
-----
IFL 108 0 108 1693.2 1642.8 25.1 25.2
IFL 108 0 108 1662.9 1605.7 28.6 28.6
IFL 108 0 108 1697.7 1641.5 28.3 27.8
IFL 108 0 108 1680.6 1626.9 27.1 26.6
IFL 108 0 108 1730.3 1675.0 27.9 27.4
    
```

What the Data Showed (Utilization data)

```

Report: ESACPUU      CPU Utilization Report      Ve 05/01/22  Pg  6150
Monitor initialized: 04/25/22 at 00:00:00 on 8561 serial 087A08  Fi
-----
<----Load---->      <-----CPU (percentages)----->  MThread <-Vertical-->
<-Users-> Tran      CPU      Total  Emul  User  Sys  Idle  Steal  Core/  Entitle-  Park
Time  Actv In Q /sec CPU  Type  util  time  ovrhd ovrhd  time  time  Thread  ment  seconds
-----
04/25/22
14:45:00  45  188  2.3  0  IFL  42.8  39.9  1.2  1.8  55.9  1.3  0/ 0  0.79  0
1  IFL  43.9  41.5  1.2  1.3  54.7  1.3  0/ 1  0.79  0
2  IFL  45.1  42.7  1.1  1.3  53.7  1.2  1/ 0  0.79  0
3  IFL  44.0  41.6  1.1  1.3  54.7  1.3  1/ 1  0.79  0
4  IFL  44.3  41.8  1.2  1.4  54.4  1.3  2/ 0  0.79  0
5  IFL  43.3  40.8  1.2  1.3  55.4  1.3  2/ 1  0.79  0
6  IFL  46.2  43.8  1.1  1.3  52.6  1.2  3/ 0  0.79  0
7  IFL  44.8  42.4  1.1  1.3  54.0  1.2  3/ 1  0.79  0
8  IFL  46.0  43.5  1.1  1.3  52.8  1.2  4/ 0  0.79  0
9  IFL  45.1  42.6  1.2  1.3  53.7  1.3  4/ 1  0.79  0
10 IFL  46.3  43.8  1.1  1.4  52.4  1.3  5/ 0  0.79  0
11 IFL  45.2  42.8  1.1  1.3  53.5  1.3  5/ 1  0.79  0
12 IFL  45.6  43.1  1.1  1.4  53.1  1.3  6/ 0  0.79  0
13 IFL  44.6  42.1  1.2  1.3  54.1  1.3  6/ 1  0.79  0
14 IFL  45.8  43.3  1.1  1.4  52.9  1.3  7/ 0  0.79  0
15 IFL  44.3  41.9  1.2  1.3  54.3  1.3  7/ 1  0.79  0
16 IFL  45.1  42.6  1.2  1.4  53.6  1.3  8/ 0  0.79  0
17 IFL  44.4  41.9  1.2  1.3  54.2  1.3  8/ 1  0.79  0
18 IFL  26.7  25.4  0.6  0.7  23.4  49.9  9/ 0  0.36  443.8
19 IFL  25.8  24.5  0.6  0.7  24.3  49.9  9/ 1  0.36  443.8
20 IFL  14.9  13.8  0.5  0.6  22.1  62.9  0/ 0  0.25  561.9
21 IFL  14.7  13.6  0.5  0.5  22.4  62.9  0/ 1  0.25  561.9
22 IFL  10.3  9.7  0.3  0.3  12.6  77.1  1/ 0  0.15  691.9
23 IFL  10.3  9.7  0.3  0.3  12.6  77.1  1/ 1  0.15  691.9
24 IFL  7.3  7.0  0.1  0.2  7.2  85.4  2/ 0  0.09  767.9
25 IFL  7.3  7.0  0.1  0.2  7.3  85.4  2/ 1  0.09  767.9
26 IFL  4.2  4.1  0.1  0.1  5.0  90.7  3/ 0  0.06  816.0
27 IFL  4.2  4.1  0.1  0.1  5.1  90.7  3/ 1  0.06  816.0
28 IFL  2.6  2.5  0.0  0.0  2.7  94.7  4/ 0  0.03  852.0
29 IFL  2.6  2.5  0.0  0.0  2.7  94.7  4/ 1  0.03  852.0
30 IFL  2.4  2.4  0.0  0.0  2.4  95.1  5/ 0  0.03  856.0
31 IFL  2.4  2.3  0.0  0.0  2.4  95.1  5/ 1  0.03  856.0
32 IFL  0.2  0.2  0.0  0.0  1.1  98.7  6/ 0  0.01  888.0
33 IFL  0.2  0.2  0.0  0.0  1.1  98.7  6/ 1  0.01  888.0
34 IFL  0.0  0.0  0.0  0.0  0.2  99.8  7/ 0  0.00  898.0
35 IFL  0.0  0.0  0.0  0.0  0.2  99.8  7/ 1  0.00  898.0
36 IFL  0.0  0  0  0.0  0  100.0  8/ 0  0.00  900.0
37 IFL  0.0  0  0  0.0  0  100.0  8/ 1  0.00  900.0
38 IFL  0.0  0  0  0.0  0  100.0  9/ 0  0.00  900.0
39 IFL  0.0  0  0  0.0  0  100.0  9/ 1  0.00  900.0
-----
System:  943.1  891.3  23.9  27.9  1125  1932  ./ .  0.40  17151
    
```

ESACPUU – CPU Utilization Analysis showed:

- The vCPUs from the z/VM perspective (40 threads)
- Shows the parking of Vertical Low vCPUs (threads 18-39)

What the Data Showed (Utilization data)

ESADSD2 – DASD Performance Analysis showed:

- High response and service times – indicates queueing
- PAV is turned off (all zeroes) – paging devices are single-threaded, response times will suffer

```

Report: ESADSD2      DASD Performance Analysis      Velocity Software Corporate  ZMAP 5.1.3 05/01/22  Pg
Monitor initialized: 04/25/22 at 00:00:00 on 8561 serial 087A08  First record analyzed: 04/25/22 15:00:00
-----
Dev          Device <--SSCH--> <%DevBusy> <SSCH/sec-> <-----DASD Response times (ms)-----> Interupts <-PAV/Hipe
No. Serial Type Total ERP Avg Peak avg peak Resp Serv Pend Disc Conn DASD Cntl THR avg max Sol Unsol Q-d SSCH
-----
15:01:00
***Top DASD by Device busy***
5111 V5111B 3390-9 2031 0 8.7 8.7 33.8 33.8 7.0 2.6 0.1 0.7 1.7 4.4 0 0 0.1 2 34 0 0 0
5222 V52227 3390-9 2078 0 8.3 8.3 34.6 34.6 5.3 2.4 0.1 0.8 1.5 2.9 0 0 0.1 1 35 0 0 0
5333 V5333C 3390-9 2071 0 8.2 8.2 34.5 34.5 3.4 2.4 0.1 0.7 1.5 1.0 0 0 0.0 0 34 0 0 0
5444 V54449 3390-9 2166 0 8.2 8.2 36.1 36.1 7.3 2.3 0.1 0.6 1.5 5.1 0 0 0.2 2 36 0 0 0
5555 V55558 3390-9 2004 0 8.1 8.1 33.4 33.4 4.9 2.4 0.1 0.7 1.6 2.5 0 0 0.1 1 33 0 0 0
5666 V56666 3390-9 2029 0 7.9 7.9 33.8 33.8 3.8 2.3 0.1 0.7 1.5 1.5 0 0 0.0 1 34 0 0 0
5777 V5777A 3390-9 2028 0 7.7 7.7 33.8 33.8 2.3 2.3 0.1 0.7 1.5 0 0 0 0 0 34 0 0 0
5888 V58885 3390-9 2027 0 7.5 7.5 33.8 33.8 2.2 2.2 0.1 0.7 1.4 0 0 0 0 0 34 0 0 0
5999 V59994 3390-9 2050 0 7.4 7.4 34.2 34.2 6.6 2.2 0.1 0.6 1.4 4.4 0 0 0.1 2 34 0 0 0
Saaa V5aaa3 3390-9 2016 0 7.4 7.4 33.6 33.6 4.2 2.2 0.1 0.7 1.4 2.0 0 0 0.1 1 34 0 0 0

```

What the Data Showed (Utilization data)

ESAUASP2 – User Percent Utilization showed:

- Lxxxx3F8 was using a lot of CPU at the time of the issue

```
Report: ESAUSP2      User Resource Rate Report      Velocity Software Corporate
Monitor initialized: 04/25/22 at 00:00:00 on 8561 serial 087A08      First record analyzed: 04/25
```

UserID	<---CPU time--->	<---Main Storage (pages)----->	<-Paging (pages)->	<Spooling(pages)>											
/Class	<(Percent)>	T:V	-<Resident>	Lock	<-----WSS----->	Paged	<Pgs/Second>	<IO/Second>							
	Total	Virt	Rat	Totl	Activ	-ed	Totl	Activ	Avg	2Disk	Read	Write	Alloc	Read	Write
04/25/22															
14:45:00	915.2	891.3	1.03	720M	720M	9022	719M	719M	9M	318K	114.0	1720	207949	0	0.0
***Key User Analysis ***															
TCPIP	0.13	0.05	2.55	7769	7769	639	7130	7130	7130	3980	0.3	11.8	76	0	0
User Class Analysis															
Servers	0.15	0.06	2.39	17K	10615	646	24K	10154	846	15039	0.4	19.5	153159	0	0.0
Velocity	0.54	0.52	1.04	9237	7213	2	11K	7384	738	6306	0.7	11.6	22038	0	0
CATech	0.00	0.00	1.13	3801	2849	4	5306	2998	600	2639	0.1	2.7	5156	0	0
TheUsrs	914.5	890.7	1.03	719M	719M	8370	719M	719M	14M	294K	112.8	1686	27596	0	0.0
Top User Analysis															
Lxxxx3F8	663.5	652.0	1.02	127M	127M	491	127M	127M	127M	17636	1.1	104.5	75	0	0
Lxxxx3FD	143.0	133.5	1.07	127M	127M	500	127M	127M	127M	13411	24.8	91.1	117	0	0
Lxxxx538	31.85	31.32	1.02	109M	109M	1452	109M	109M	109M	18729	0.2	108.2	48	0	0
Lxxxx3FA	20.98	20.08	1.04	92M	92.4M	530	92M	92.4M	92M	18770	0.0	108.3	76	0	0
Lxxxx411	10.81	10.35	1.04	12M	11.6M	395	12M	11.6M	12M	12741	17.7	99.3	62	0	0
Lxxxx51E	5.17	5.05	1.02	6.3M	6273K	355	6.3M	6274K	6M	18781	0.1	108.3	49	0	0
Lxxxx3F5	5.03	4.90	1.03	127M	127M	491	127M	127M	127M	18008	6.5	107.6	80	0	0
Lxxxx3B2	4.75	4.60	1.03	64M	63.7M	475	64M	63.8M	64M	16838	17.5	110.1	73	0	0
Lxxxx400	4.54	4.41	1.03	6.3M	6327K	395	6.3M	6328K	6M	14295	3.2	93.2	61	0	0
Lxxxx529	4.47	4.34	1.03	16M	15.6M	355	16M	15.6M	16M	18578	0.0	108.0	49	0	0

What the Data Showed (Utilization data)

ESAUSPG – User Storage Analysis showed:

- Multiple servers have a huge amount of storage
- Lxxxx538 started holding storage below the 2G line
- Paging started thrashing (Megabytes Paged Out)

```
Report: ESAUSPG      User Storage Analysis
Monitor initialized: 04/25/22 at 00:00:00 on 8561 serial 087A08
```

UserID /Class	<Storage Occupancy (MegaBytes)>			Paged Out	<-Page I/O-->		Pages Moved	Page Faults
	<---Main Storage---> Total	>2gb	<2GB		Page Writes	Page Reads		

04/25/22								
14:30:00	2806K	2805K	234	0	0	0	0	1817
TheUsrs	2805K	2805K	181	0	0	0	0	1769
Top User Analysis								
Lxxxx3FD	497610	497610	0	0	0	0	0	11
Lxxxx3F8	497609	497609	0	0	0	0	0	4
Lxxxx538	421606	421505	101	0	0	0	0	34
Lxxxx3FA	360765	360759	6	0	0	0	0	93
Lxxxx411	45343	45343	0	0	0	0	0	135

14:45:00	2811K	2809K	1698	1241	1548K	102635	0	233532
TheUsrs	2811K	2809K	1668	1147	1517K	101501	0	198997
Top User Analysis								
Lxxxx3F8	497540	497540	0	69	94026	955	0	270
Lxxxx3FD	497558	497558	0	52	81951	22318	0	15886
Lxxxx538	427501	426021	1480	73	97357	183	0	43
Lxxxx3FA	361117	360972	144	73	97493	33	0	142
Lxxxx411	45291	45291	0	50	89325	15935	0	3955

15:00:00	2812K	2810K	1914	20765	11217K	4105K	0	1278K
TheUsrs	2812K	2810K	1907	20588	11202K	4090K	0	1168K
Top User Analysis								
Lxxxx3F8	496265	496265	0	1344	658447	183132	0	9162
Lxxxx3FD	497114	497114	0	496	1247K	1139K	0	174027
Lxxxx538	446916	445180	1736	1349	546979	87328	0	29226
Lxxxx3FA	360201	360033	168	1489	547280	5704	0	1662
Lxxxx411	44433	44433	0	903	1159K	883815	0	91732

15:15:00	2812K	2811K	1915	49630	12540K	5000K	0	1531K
TheUsrs	2812K	2811K	1909	49453	12514K	4979K	0	1409K
Top User Analysis								
Lxxxx3FD	497092	497092	0	518	1100K	1071K	0	359847
Lxxxx538	475487	473748	1739	3126	617158	169221	0	40030
Lxxxx3F8	494369	494369	0	3239	801799	335159	0	12930
Lxxxx3FA	358567	358399	168	3592	751006	218475	0	12484
Lxxxx411	43078	43078	0	2252	1021K	636711	0	74060

What the Data Showed (Utilization data)

ESALNXS – Linux VSI System Analysis showed:

- Lxxxx3F8 has 32 vCPUs – Linux uses all of them, even though only one major process was running (from ESALNXP)
- Spin locks result from too many vCPUs

```
Report: ESALNXS      LINUX VSI System Analysis Report
Monitor initialized: 04/25/22 at 00:00:00 on 8561 serial 087A08      First record
-----
```

Node/ Time	<---Load Numbers-->			CPU NBR	<Processor Pct Util>				NICE Time	<CPU Overhead%>			IO Wait
	Users	Procs	MaxProc		Total	Syst	User	Idle		Krnl	IRQ	Steal	
LINUX3F8	0	441	0	Tot	616.4	13.1	598	2541	0	0.7	4.2	42.5	0.3
				1	20.0	1.2	16.2	77.5	0	0.1	2.5	2.5	0.0
				2	24.9	0.6	24.2	73.5	0	0.0	0.1	1.6	0.0
				3	24.6	0.5	24.0	73.7	0	0.0	0.1	1.7	0.0
				4	27.2	0.5	26.5	71.0	0	0.0	0.1	1.8	0.0
				5	27.9	0.5	27.3	70.3	0	0.0	0.1	1.8	0.0
				6	22.6	0.5	22.0	75.9	0	0.0	0.1	1.5	0.0
				7	22.1	0.5	21.5	76.4	0	0.0	0.1	1.5	0.0
				8	23.5	0.5	22.9	74.9	0	0.0	0.1	1.6	0.0
				9	22.5	0.5	21.9	76.0	0	0.0	0.1	1.6	0.0
				10	20.9	0.4	20.4	77.6	0	0.0	0.1	1.5	0.0
				11	21.6	0.4	21.1	76.9	0	0.0	0.1	1.5	0.0
				12	18.6	0.4	18.2	80.1	0	0.0	0.1	1.3	0.0
				13	17.3	0.4	16.8	81.4	0	0.0	0.1	1.2	0.0
				14	18.5	0.4	18.1	80.1	0	0.0	0.1	1.3	0.0
				15	19.1	0.4	18.6	79.6	0	0.0	0.1	1.3	0.0
				16	19.7	0.4	19.3	78.9	0	0.0	0.1	1.4	0.0
				17	17.6	0.4	17.1	81.2	0	0.0	0.1	1.3	0.0
				18	16.8	0.4	16.3	82.0	0	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0
				19	18.7	0.4	18.2	80.0	0	0.0	0.1	1.3	0.0
				20	20.3	0.4	19.9	78.3	0	0.0	0.1	1.4	0.0
				21	16.8	0.4	16.4	82.1	0	0.0	0.1	1.1	0.0
				22	16.3	0.4	15.8	82.7	0	0.0	0.1	1.0	0.0
				23	15.9	0.3	15.6	83.1	0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
				24	19.4	0.3	19.0	79.3	0	0.0	0.1	1.3	0.0
				25	15.6	0.2	15.3	83.4	0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
				26	18.8	0.3	18.4	79.9	0	0.0	0.1	1.2	0.0
				27	18.3	0.4	17.8	80.5	0	0.0	0.1	1.2	0.0
				28	15.1	0.3	14.8	83.9	0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
				29	15.6	0.3	15.2	83.5	0	0.0	0.1	1.0	0.0
				30	12.5	0.3	12.1	86.7	0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0
				31	13.2	0.3	12.9	85.9	0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0

What the Data Showed (Utilization data)

ESALNXP – Linux HOST Process Statistics showed:

- Lxxxx538 had a MongoDB process that was ramping up (CPU/Storage)
- This ended up needing more storage than was available

```
Report: ESALNXP          LINUX HOST Process Statistics Report          ZMAP 5.1.3
Monitor initialized: 04/25/22 at 00:00:00 on 8561 serial 087A08      First rec
-----
node/      <Process Ident> Nice PRTY <-----CPU Percents-----> <Storage (MB)
Name       ID      PPID Valu Valu  Tot  sys user syst usrt  Size RSS Peak
-----
Lxxxx538   0       0    0    0  2.82 0.57 1.56 0.08 0.61 13K  487 211K
Lxxxx538   0       0    0    0 12.4 0.67 7.85 0.40 3.44 14K  533 219K
Lxxxx538   0       0    0    0 61.8 5.48 52.7 0.16 3.45 46K  31K 698K
Lxxxx538   0       0    0    0 21.8 2.24 19.5 0.01 0.02 94K  80K 1.4M
Lxxxx538   0       0    0    0 53.4 5.06 47.6 0.08 0.64 126K 112K 1.9M
Lxxxx538   0       0    0    0 17.2 1.63 15.5 0.01 0.02 149K 134K 2.2M
Lxxxx538   0       0    0    0 54.7 5.39 49.3 0.01 0.00 173K 159K 2.6M
Lxxxx538   0       0    0    0 62.1 4.18 57.2 0.07 0.58 204K 189K 3.1M
Lxxxx538   0       0    0    0 69.5 4.50 65.0 0.00 0.00 207K 193K 3.1M
Lxxxx538   0       0    0    0 11.3 1.18 10.2 0.01 0.00 208K 193K 3.1M
Lxxxx538   0       0    0    0 41.8 2.59 38.5 0.08 0.61 208K 193K 3.1M
Lxxxx538   0       0    0    0 20.6 1.67 19.0 0.01 0.00 208K 193K 3.1M
14:45:00
Lxxxx538   0       0    0    0 23.6 1.76 21.2 0.08 0.55 208K 193K 3.1M
  mongod-g  45390   1    0    20 20.6 1.16 19.4   0   0 195K 193K 2.9M
Lxxxx538   0       0    0    0 72.2 4.80 67.4 0.01 0.00 208K 193K 3.1M
  mongod-g  45390   1    0    20 69.5 4.00 65.5   0   0 195K 193K 2.9M
```


What the Data Showed (Utilization data)

ESAUCD2 – Linux UCD Memory Analysis showed:

- Way too much real storage is allocated but not being used
- Very little swap space is being used

```
Report: ESAUCD2          LINUX UCD Memory Analysis Report          Velocity Software Corporate  Z
Monitor initialized: 04/25/22 at 00:00:00 on 8561 serial 087A08    First record analyzed: 04/25/22
-----
Node/      <-----Storage Sizes (in MegaBytes)----->
Time/     <--Real Storage--> <-----SWAP Storage-----> Total <-----Storage in Use-----> Error
Date      Total Avail Used  Total Avail Used  MIN  Avail CMM   Buffer Cache Ovrhd Shared Message
-----
04/25/22
15:30:00
***Node Groups***
TheUsrs   4044K 1988K 2055K  480K  480K   7.5 234.4 2468K    0  398.4 1219K  836K   8.0
*** Nodes *****
Lxxxx3B2 245373 168K 73681 32768 32765  2.8 15.6 200K    0  28.7 53220 20433  0.6
Lxxxx3FA 490922 135K 344K 32768 32768    0 15.6 167K    0  30.0 266K 80051  0.5
Lxxxx3FD 490922 9775 470K 32768 32763  4.8 15.6 42538  0  28.7 273K 197K  0.6
Lxxxx3F5 490922 459K 20810 32768 32768    0 15.6 491K    0  28.7 9904 10878  0.6
Lxxxx3F8 490922 4120 475K 32768 32768    0 15.6 36888  0  28.7 231K 244K  0.6
Lxxxx40A 61210 30962 30248 32768 32768    0 15.6 63730  0  26.0 29447 775.4  0.5
Lxxxx40D 61210 52589 8621 32768 32768    0 15.6 85357  0  26.0 7923 671.7  0.5
Lxxxx400 61210 45945 15265 32768 32768    0 15.6 78713  0  26.0 8432 6807  0.5
Lxxxx404 30516 22106 8411 32768 32768    0 15.6 54874  0  26.0 7855 529.8  0.5
Lxxxx408 61210 53003 8207 32768 32768    0 15.6 85771  0  26.0 7055 1127  0.5
Lxxxx41B 122598 99697 22901 32768 32768    0 15.6 129K    0  30.0 7889 14982  0.5
Lxxxx411 61210 19750 41460 32768 32768    0 15.6 52518  0  26.0 16569 24866  0.5
Lxxxx51E 490861 464K 15285 32768 32768    0 15.6 496K    0  22.6 4482 10780  0.5
Lxxxx529 490861 429K 51329 32768 32768    0 15.6 461K    0  22.6 21736 29570  0.5
Lxxxx538 490861 72014 409K 32768 32768    0 15.6 102K    0  22.6 210K 199K  0.5
```

What the Data Showed (Utilization data)

ESAUSTR – User Storage Analysis showed:

- The Made IBR hit the 2% line (agelist default is 2%)
- A few minutes later, the Made IBR increased exponentially
- (The correct users aren't releasing pages)

```
Report: ESAUSTR      User Storage Analysis
Monitor initialized: 04/25/22 at 00:00:00 on
```

UserID /Class	Size	Alloc	Resi-	UFO	IBR	AgeList	Unreferd	Mbyte	slots	Made				
			dent	Activ	TOT	<2gb	>2gb	<2gb	>2gb	used	IBR			
-----Virtual Server Storage (MB)-----														
04/25/22														
TheUsrs	4231K	2745K	2745K	2745K	3.5	0.5	3.0	21.4	413	0	0	0	33.3	
TheUsrs	4231K	2681K	2681K	2681K	0.9	0.1	0.8	5.4	103	0	0	0	31.3	<- 2% line
TheUsrs	4231K	2765K	2765K	2763K	10.9	1.5	9.4	64.3	1533	0	0	0	0.8	
TheUsrs	4231K	2774K	2774K	2772K	10.9	1.5	9.4	64.3	1532	0	0	0	1.8	
TheUsrs	4231K	2778K	2778K	2776K	10.6	1.5	9.1	64.3	1540	0	0	0	0	
TheUsrs	4231K	2783K	2783K	2781K	10.6	1.5	9.1	64.3	1536	0	0	0	0.0	
TheUsrs	4231K	2775K	2775K	2773K	10.8	1.5	9.3	64.3	1535	0	0	0	2.4	
TheUsrs	4231K	2791K	2791K	2789K	10.6	1.5	9.1	64.3	1532	0	0	0	0	
TheUsrs	4231K	2805K	2805K	2804K	10.6	1.5	9.1	64.3	1530	0	0	0	0	
TheUsrs	4231K	2812K	2811K	2808K	12.9	1.5	11.4	31.5	2023	0.0	37.0	1147	137	<- Fall off cliff
TheUsrs	4231K	2833K	2812K	2810K	36.9	1.5	35.4	0.1	2319	0.0	737	20588	632	
TheUsrs	4231K	2810K	2805K	2803K	17.8	1.5	16.3	40.0	1851	0.0	193	5434	720	
TheUsrs	4231K	2862K	2812K	2810K	45.1	1.5	43.6	0.2	2319	0.2	744	49453	687	

What the Data Showed (Utilization data)

ESAPSDV – Page and Spool Device showed:

- There are an adequate amount of paging devices, but they are on differently-sized devices – which can cause issues
- The average SSCH/RSCH queuing was very high
- Again, this shows that PAV/HPAV is off (all zeros)

```
Report: ESAPSDV      Page And Spool Device Activity      Velocity Software Corporate  ZMAP 5.1.
Monitor initialized: 04/25/22 at 00:00:00 on 8561 serial 087A08  First record analyzed: 04/25/22 15:00:0
```

Dev No.	Serial	Paging/Spooling			/Sec Device			Queued pgs			HPAV		Record					
		Avail	Used	%Use	Max	Read	Write	Queue	SSCH	Serv	Resp	%Alloc	Block	I/O	Locate/Sec	Read	Write	
Page Devices																		
5111	V5111A	12M	744K	6	744K	505	1267	0	33.4	0.0	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	36	11
5222	V5222B	12M	743K	6	743K	531	1274	0	33.3	0.0	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	37	10
5333	V5333C	12M	744K	6	744K	560	1290	0	33.8	0.1	0.1	100.0	0	0	0	0	37	11
5444	V54440	5897K	739K	13	739K	533	1285	0	33.4	0.0	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	37	10
5555	V55551	5897K	743K	13	743K	498	1286	0	33.6	0.0	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	36	10
5666	V56662	5897K	745K	13	745K	512	1268	0	33.9	0.0	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	37	10
5777	V57773	5897K	744K	13	744K	512	1286	0	33.3	0.1	0.1	100.0	0	0	0	0	37	10
5888	V58884	12M	744K	6	744K	526	1282	0	33.8	0.1	0.1	100.0	0	0	0	0	37	11
5999	V59995	12M	746K	6	746K	515	1279	0	33.6	0.0	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	37	10
5aaa	V5aaa6	12M	745K	6	745K	523	1286	0	33.4	0.0	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	37	11
5bbb	V5bbb7	12M	742K	6	742K	528	1287	0	34.5	0.1	0.1	100.0	0	0	0	0	38	10
5ccc	V5ccc8	12M	744K	6	744K	509	1288	0	32.8	0.0	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	36	10
5ddd	V5ddd9	12M	741K	6	741K	552	1249	0	35.8	0.1	0.1	100.0	0	0	0	0	39	11
Total Page		130M	10M	7	10M	6808	17K											

What the Data Showed (Utilization data)

ESABLKP – Block Paging Analysis showed:

- Service times were climbing
- Block reads and size were climbing (optimal size is 10 pages)
- Block Steal and Unreferenced pages climbing
- Single User page reads climbing
- All show stress on the storage system

```
Report: ESABLKP      Block Paging Analysis
Monitor initialized: 04/25/22 at 00:00:00 on 8561 serial 087A08      First record analyzed: 04/25/22
```

Time	<----Load---->			Serv Time (ms)	<-Block->		<-Blocks Formed By->			Block Fault /sec	<--Block Exceptions/sec-->				
	<-Users-> Actv	In Q	/sec		<-Reads-> /sec	<-Steal-> /sec	<Migrate> /sec	<Migrate> /sec	<Migrate> /sec		<Single User>	Read System	<No Refers> Migr	Steal	
14:00:00	46	178	2.3	.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	
14:15:00	45	190	2.3	.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	
14:30:00	45	195	2.3	.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	
14:45:00	45	188	2.3	0.0	4.4	18.7	64.5	26.9	0	0	4.4	0.1	0.2	0	37.9
15:00:00	45	189	2.3	1.2	123.8	18.9	391.3	27.8	0	0	123.8	73.3	7.7	0	3668
15:15:00	46	179	2.4	3.0	148.2	18.7	434.4	27.5	0	0	148.2	207.3	18.5	0	4582
15:30:00	45	194	2.3	2.6	99.3	18.3	111.7	30.0	0	0	99.3	173.4	11.2	0	3236
15:45:00	47	193	2.4	3.3	128.7	17.6	329.3	28.7	0	0	128.7	185.4	41.2	0	3692
16:00:00	48	191	2.2	4.1	59.9	19.1	53.6	31.3	0	0	59.9	78.3	7.5	0	1900

What the Data Showed (Console data)

ESAOPER – Operator/System Console showed:

- Parking was very active at the time of the issue
- Parking thrashing causes cache issues
- Too many vCPUs and incorrect weighting causes parking thrashing

```
Report: ESAOPER Operator/System Log
Monitor initialized: 04/24/22 at 23:45:04 on 8561 serial 087A08 First
-----
14:40:01 CPU Unpark from 26 to 30 CPUUtil= "12.1", Projected= "13.0"
14:40:03 CPU Park from 30 to 28 CPUUtil= "11.6", Projected= "12.1"
14:40:05 CPU Park from 28 to 26 CPUUtil= "11.1", Projected= "12.0"
14:40:09 CPU Unpark from 26 to 28 CPUUtil= "11.7", Projected= "12.0"
14:40:11 CPU Park from 28 to 26 CPUUtil= "11.0", Projected= "12.0"
14:40:13 CPU Unpark from 26 to 28 CPUUtil= "11.7", Projected= "12.4"
14:40:17 CPU Park from 28 to 26 CPUUtil= "11.0", Projected= "12.0"
14:40:19 CPU Unpark from 26 to 28 CPUUtil= "10.0", Projected= "12.2"
14:40:33 CPU Unpark from 28 to 32 CPUUtil= "13.2", Projected= "14.4"
14:40:35 CPU Unpark from 32 to 34 CPUUtil= "14.2", Projected= "15.1"
14:40:37 CPU Park from 34 to 32 CPUUtil= "14.3", Projected= "14.5"
14:41:05 CPU Unpark from 32 to 34 CPUUtil= "7.78", Projected= "15.5"
14:41:09 CPU Unpark from 34 to 36 CPUUtil= "6.78", Projected= "16.1"
14:41:11 CPU Park from 36 to 34 CPUUtil= "5.50", Projected= "15.9"
14:41:15 CPU Park from 34 to 32 CPUUtil= "5.38", Projected= "14.2"
14:41:17 CPU Park from 32 to 30 CPUUtil= "5.20", Projected= "13.0"
14:41:19 CPU Park from 30 to 26 CPUUtil= "5.33", Projected= "11.3"
14:41:21 CPU Park from 26 to 20 CPUUtil= "4.63", Projected= "8.79"
14:41:23 CPU Park from 20 to 18 CPUUtil= "5.54", Projected= "7.50"
14:41:35 CPU Unpark from 18 to 22 CPUUtil= "7.62", Projected= "9.16"
14:41:37 CPU Park from 22 to 20 CPUUtil= "8.27", Projected= "8.93"
14:42:05 CPU Park from 20 to 18 CPUUtil= "6.42", Projected= "7.89"
14:42:59 CPU Unpark from 18 to 20 CPUUtil= "6.73", Projected= "8.46"
14:43:03 CPU Park from 20 to 18 CPUUtil= "5.64", Projected= "7.06"
14:43:07 CPU Unpark from 18 to 20 CPUUtil= "7.31", Projected= "8.41"
14:43:09 CPU Park from 20 to 18 CPUUtil= "6.82", Projected= "7.82"
14:43:13 CPU Unpark from 18 to 22 CPUUtil= "7.52", Projected= "9.07"
```

What was the actual problem?

- Lxxxx538 started a process that was ramping up its Mongo database
- Lxxxx538 was holding a lock but got paged out
- The other large systems were spinning waiting on that lock (Lxxxx3F8 was a victim, not the culprit)
- There wasn't enough storage for that system to get paged back in
- Once everything starts backing up, the problems grow exponentially
- Eventually that lock was released and things recovered – but it had the potential to happen again

Performance Enhancement Suggestions:

1 – The “T-Shirt” size approach that is often used when moving Linux servers from xSeries boxes to the z/VM platform causes them to have excessive resources

- Several large Linux servers had more vCPUs than were needed
 - Verify only the necessary number of vCPUs are allocated
- Several large Linux servers had more storage than was needed
 - Verify only the necessary storage is allocated
- Use swap space
 - Use swap space to allow servers to use very fast and efficient virtual disk when extra storage is needed

Performance Enhancement Suggestions:

2 – Lower the number of vCPUs of the Linux servers

- This will help reduce processor parking and cache issues
- Each large server had 32 vCPUs when 16 would suffice
- Verify Parking settings
 - If needed, set unparking to large – **SET SRM UNPARKING LARGE** – this leaves more cores unparked which helps with processor cache issues
 - If needed, set excessive use to high – **SET SRM EXCESSUSE HIGH** – this allows the system to use more unentitled CPU capacity

Performance Enhancement Suggestions:

3 – Verify the SRM agelist settings are correct for the environment

- The replacement for xstore is the agelist
- Instead of up to 20% xstore available, now the default is 2% pageable storage – this works better for smaller servers
 - If needed, set the SRM agelist size to 5% - **SET SRM AGELIST SIZE 5.0%** - to give a bigger buffer area
 - If needed, set the early writes to yes – **SET SRM AGELIST EARLYW YES** – to allow unused pages to be written out early
 - If needed, set the keep slot to yes – **SET SRM AGELIST KEEPS YES** – to keep storage addresses longer

What the customer reported:

- The suggestions were implemented and no further issues have been reported

